

THE CPARB MISSION

From ESHB 1830:

To provide an evaluation of public capital projects construction processes, including the impact of contracting methods on project outcomes, and to advise the legislature on policies related to alternative public works delivery methods.

The CPARB has the following Powers and Duties:

Develop and recommend to the legislature criteria that may be used to determine effective and feasible use of alternative contracting procedures.

Develop and recommend to the legislature qualification standards for general contractors bidding and alternative public works projects.

Develop and recommend to the legislature policies to further enhance the quality, efficiency, and accountability of Capital Construction Projects through the use of traditional and alternative delivery methods in Washington, and make recommendations regarding expansion, continuation, elimination, or modification of the alternative public works contracting methods.

Evaluate the potential future use of other alternative contracting procedures including competitive negotiation contracts.

CONSEQUENCES OF SUCCESS

Q1: The actions and output of this Board are Very Successful - What is the Consequence of this for you - for you organization - and the industry?

Set some very clear criteria - who is allowed to use the process

Be fair for the size of contractors - risk factors - be as fair as possible in the competitive process

Implement our priorities and which will allow us to support the GC/CM Process

Commodity and controversial, bring the Sub contractors on board

Focus on other methods (beside GC/CM) D/B and D/B/B - on all different methods

Product that is the best for the Public - good for Sub Contractors and the whole community

Success from the Industry perspective - product that has a better allocation of the risk - resulting in less controversy between the parties - better pricing - Industry gets a better product to the Public at a good price - efficient in how we provide that product

Profitable and fair opportunities for the small Sub Contractors and Small Businesses

Transparency of the process - types of projects, size

CONSEQUENCES OF SUCCESS

Q1: The actions and output of this Board are Very Successful - What is the Consequence of this for you - for you organization - and the industry?

Best Value Model - focus on getting the best possible contractors on Public Work Projects

Consistency and clarity in use and evaluation of each of the contracting methods

Coming together to agree on the recommendations to the Legislature

Long term - build bridges to each other - continue communication on better practices

Have the tools to deliver the best possible projects, quality, on time, on budget - challenge with shrinking resources - meeting the elements of Public Works that are a part of our lives

Make evaluations on whether to continue this process - answer the fundamental question on continuation of this process - clear acknowledgement of the value "APM" and recognition of advantages and problems

See continued use of bonding - in what ever method of contracting is utilized - to continue protection as from the past

CONSEQUENCES OF FAILURE

**Q2: The actions and output of this Board Fail to Produce Results -
What is the Consequence of this for you - for you organization - and
the industry?**

Make decisions at the Legislative level that are based on untrue criteria - without clear guidelines more people will be using the process

Each one of the individual organization will submit their own legislation individually

Business as usual - same tools using now - won't have the tools to provide the best value

GA may be less effective and efficient

Going back to the past - projects that have been unsuccessful where the right of passage was mediation, arbitration, etc.

Loose tools - not have the ability to use GC/CM, other tools - not able to avoid huge fights

Individual submitted legislation - will be one sided and not good for the 'Industry'

With all of the people represented - not be able to come up with a good process to benefit the Public - still be stuck with the same process - again, more of the same

If Board fails to produce clear and concise role and responsibilities - result in more claims and messy projects

Obligation to pick the best tools

10/13/05

CONSEQUENCES OF FAILURE

**Q2: The actions and output of this Board Fail to Produce Results -
What is the Consequence of this for you - for you organization - and
the industry?**

Feel a sense of failure if we can't produce a good product

Produce a result based on an inappropriate premise

10/13/05

Sort Type Descriptions	
①	Complete by Mid-December - 2005
②	Complete by August - 2006
③	Complete by Mid-December - 2006
④	Later then 2006

Topic Rankings Results						Board Member Top 3 List		Voting Sort Type - Totals			
Assign Comm Team	①	②	③	④	C	DESCRIPTION		① Dec '05	② Aug '06	③ Dec '06	④ Later
1	1					Establish Data Collection System that is consistent.		17	1		
2					6	Remove the sunset		12	15		
						Removal of the sunset clause for RCW Chapter 39.10.		C6	C6	C6	C6
						Permanent removal of the sunset clause for RCW Chapter 39.10.		C6	C6	C6	C6
						Legislative expansion of alternative public works contracting methods		9	10		
						Low Bid Contractor Selection		9	5		
						Add "Best Value" to APW		9	12		
						Retain and Expand Authority for Alternative Contracting		C5 (9)	C5	C5	C5
					1	Standardize Subcontracts		1	12		
						Develop Standard subcontract terms and conditions for contracts between GC/CM's and subcontractors.		C1	C1	C1	C1
					2	Proper Allocation of Risk		2	12		
						GC/risk transfer		C2	C2	C2	C2
						Outside of Legislative Recommendation, and for discussion a. Different ways of responding to Escalation and Market Conditions		C2	C2	C2	C2

Topic Rankings Results						Board Member Top 3 List	Voting Sort Type - Totals			
Assign Comm Team	1	2	3	4	C	DESCRIPTION	1 Dec '05	2 Aug '06	3 Dec '06	4 Later
					3	MACC timing on GC/CM Projects	2	3		
						Outside of Legislative Recommendation, and for discussion a. When to set the MACC	C3	C3	C3	C3
					4	Sub Contractor Eligibility	0	12		
						GC/CM Subcontractor Selection - clarification of the Standards	C4	C4	C4	C4
					5	Expansion of the usage of GC/CM and design/build to all levels of government	4	11		
						Expanding GC/CM to Smaller Projects and Inexperienced Owners- examine the risks associated with doing this	C5	C5	C5	C5
						Reduction or elimination of limitations based on dollar size	C5	C5	C5	C5
						Public bodies authorized to use alternative public works procurement methods.	C5	C5	C5	C5
						Types of projects to use alternative public works methods on.	C5	C5	C5	C5
						K-12 continue to use alternative contracting options	C5	C5	C5	C5
						Legislative clarity - for using GC/CM	C5	C5	C5	C5
						Minimum Participant Qualifications for Public Entity use of alternative contracting methods.	C5	C5	C5	C5
						Retain and Expand Authority for Alternative Contracting	C5 (9)	C5	C5	C5
					7	MEP (Mech/Elec/Plum) involvement during design	0	4		

Topic Rankings Results						Board Member Top 3 List		Voting Sort Type - Totals			
Assign Comm Team	①	②	③	④	C	DESCRIPTION		① Dec '05	② Aug '06	③ Dec '06	④ Later
						Issues for Specific Alternative Contracting a. specific to GC/CM: 1) Early selection of major subcontractors during design 2) Bonding b. specific to JOC: 1) Increase number of contracts per owner, and raise dollar limit per work order		C7	C7	C7	C7
						Opening the Market to Smaller Local Contractors		5	5		
						Compliance with Qualification Based Selection (QBS) Laws		0	0		
						Change Orders - % of markup		0	2		
						Require owners and GC/CM's response to change order requests within a reasonable period of time.		0	4		
						Prohibit Incentive Provisions tied to MACC contingency except for schedule milestones and buyout contingency.		0	2		
						Responsible Bidder definitions under Design/Bid/Build		4	10		
						Bid Shopping (Release of all sub-tier awards)		2	Stop		
						Apprenticeship Utilization		3			
						Low Participation of minority firms in public contracting		0			
						Prime Contractor Planning and Reporting		0			
						Prompt Payment of vendors and contractors		1			
						Better define APW users		5			
						Clarification of risk on GC/CM -Shifting of Risk to Subcontractors		0			
						Size of sub contractor packages		0			

Topic Rankings Results						Board Member Top 3 List		Voting Sort Type - Totals			
Assign Comm Team	①	②	③	④	C	DESCRIPTION		① Dec '05	② Aug '06	③ Dec '06	④ Later
						Consistent evaluation Criteria (of projects requesting use of alternate contracting methods)		3			
						Develop specific recommendations to the legislature as we move into session.		1			
						Develop a mission statement with strong consensus by the committee.		3			
						The choice of which method of contracting to use is a key initial decision to be made.		0			

**CPARB Subcommittees
Identified 10/13/05**

Subcommittee Teams

SUB-COMMITTEE #1 NAME: Data Collection			
Description/Assignment	Member Names	Phone	Email
Establish Data Collection System that is consistent	<i>Darlene Septelka - Lead</i>	509-358-7910	septelka@wsu.edu
	AGC (Dan Absher or Rick Slunaker provide name)	253-845-9544 (Dan) 360-352-5000 (Rick)	dra@abshernw.com
	Ed Kommers	206-442-9029	ekommers@comcast.net
	Carolyn Crowson	360-753-9679	carolync@omwbe.wa.gov
	Olivia Yang	206-221-4224	oyang@u.washington.edu
	City of Seattle (Rodney - name)	206-684-8241	rodney.eng@seattle.gov
	Rep. Kathy Haigh	360-786-7966	haigh.kathy@leg.wa.gov
	OFM (Mike Roberts for name)	360-902-0529 (Mike)	Mike.Roberts@OFM.WA.GOV
SUB-COMMITTEE #2 NAME: Sunset Review			
Description/Assignment	Member Names	Phone	Email
How to break up the topics of Sunset issues	<i>Rodney Eng - Lead</i>	206-684-8241	rodney.eng@seattle.gov
	Dan Absher	253-845-9544	dra@abshernw.com
	Butch Reifert	206-441-4151	breifert@mahlum.com
	Rocky Sharp	253-383-4546	rsharp@madsenelectric.com
	Ed Kommers	206-442-9029	ekommers@comcast.net
	Dave Johnson	360-357-6778	DJIW86@aol.com
	Olivia Yang	206-221-4224	oyang@u.washington.edu
	John Lynch	360-902-7227	jlynch@ga.wa.gov
	Wendy Keller	206-684-1912	Wendy.Keller@METROKC.GOV
	Tom Peterson	206-286-6697	Tom-peterson@hoffmancorp.com

10/13/05

**CPARB Subcommittees
Identified 10/13/05**

Subcommittee Teams

SUB-COMMITTEE #3 NAME: Expansion			
Description/Assignment	Member Names	Phone	Email
New users of APW, New methods & New Projects	<i>Olivia Yang - Lead</i>	206-221-4224	oyang@u.washington.edu
	Butch Reifert	206-441-4151	breifert@mahlum.com
	Ed Kommers	206-442-9029	ekommers@comcast.net
	Dave Johnson	360-357-6778	DJIW86@aol.com
	John Lynch	360-902-7227	jlynch@ga.wa.gov
	Gary Ballew	509-736-3082	gary.ballew@co.benton.wa.us
	Rodney Eng	206-684-8241	rodney.eng@seattle.gov
	Michael Mequet	206-835-7637	mequet.m@portseattle.org
	Nora Huey	206-684-2049	Nora.Huey@MetroKC.gov
	Tom Peterson	206-286-6697	Tom-peterson@hoffmancorp.com
	Rick Slunaker	360-352-5000	rslunaker@agcwa.com

10/13/05

QUICK START PLANNING PROCESS:
TOP 3 TOPICS FROM THE COMMITTEE LIST - FIND THE LOW HANGING FRUIT
STRATEGIC PRIORITIZATION PROCESS:
WEIGHTED VOTING: 1 OR 2 HANDS
VOTE ON ALL OR NONE OF THE TOPICS
COMBINE LIKE TOPICS:
LINK: TODAY (REVOTE IS AN OPTION)
COMBINE: DISCUSS IN THE 1ST SUB-COMMITTEE MEETING (NOT HERE)
SUB COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENTS:
TODAY - FORMATION OF SUB COMMITTEES - MAKE A PLAN
1st ASSIGNMENT - MINI STRATEGIC PLANNING:
1.) COMPLY WITH LINKAGE TO LEGISLATIVE ASSIGNMENT
2.) COMBINE TOPICS & GENERATE SCOPE DEFINITION
3.) DEVELOP SCHEDULE/PLAN
WORK AS ONE SUB COMMITTEE
SPLIT INTO TWO SUB COMMITTEES
JOIN OTHER SUB COMMITTEES
4.) PRESENT TO BOARD FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL TO IMPLEMENT & GO FORWARD

[illegible]

TOPIC LIST			ACTION PLAN & TRACKING			RESULTS
ISSUE DESCRIPTION	Date Assigned	%	RECOMMENDATIONS & ACTION	Action Team	Complete BY: / /	OBJECTIVE - BENEFIT RESULTS - STATUS

Alignment Publications Inc. 2005